

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/740,482	12/22/2003	Pierre Pare	15352-1US SC/ip	2066
20988	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
OGILVY RENAULT			MAYO, TARA L	
1981 MCGIL SUITE 1600	L COLLEGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTREAL, QC H3A2Y3			3671	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-9
	10/740,482	PARE, PIERRE	\mathcal{L}
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,15,16,18-24 and 27 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-14,17,25 and 26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2003 is/are:		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •	4.4044.10
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· <i>'</i>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040520. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 22, B3, B4 and 54. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: SO and S4 of Figure 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be

Art Unit: 3671

labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: minor claim drafting error. On line 4, delete "speed" and insert therefor --pressure-- or make an equivalent change thereto. See the Specification at paragraph 00044. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 through 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moschetti (U.S. Patent No. 4,178,875).

Moschetti '875, as seen in Figure 1, shows a device for lining a conduit (1) to be rehabilitated, comprising
with regard to claim 1,

Art Unit: 3671

a movable carriage (3) having an axis, said carriage being adapted to enter and be displaced coaxially through the conduit, and a spray source rotatably mounted to said carriage for rotation about said axis, said spray source including a nozzle through which a fast setting lining mixture (col. 2, lines 59 through 61) is forced out under pressure while said spray source is rotated about said axis and said carriage is axially displaced along the conduit, thereby providing for a uniform distribution of the lining mixture on an inner wall of the conduit, and wherein at least first and second fluid passages (10; col. 3, lines 15 through 18) are provided for separately feeding first and second components of the fast setting lining mixture to the spray source where the first and second components are mixed together (col. 4, lines 23 through 26) as the lining mixture is being supplied;

with regard to claim 2,

wherein said spray source includes a rotatable crank axle (5), said nozzle being mounted at a distal end of said crank axle for rotation about said axis; with regard to claim 3,

wherein said nozzle is in fluid flow communication with a mixing chamber (i.e., the interior portion of the nozzle where the components are mixed) in which the components of the lining mixture are mixed before being discharge at high speed through a slotted orifice defined in said nozzle at an angle (as indicated by the spray pattern in Figure 3) with respect to a central axis of said mixing chamber; with regard to claim 4,

wherein said nozzle defines an inclined and outwardly flaring slotted spray orifice (as indicated by the spray pattern in Figure 3);

Art Unit: 3671

with regard to claim 5,

wherein said nozzle is orientable to selectively spray radially inwardly and radially outwardly relative to said axis; and with regard to claim 16,

wherein said carriage equipped with a set of rollers (4a, 4b) for centering said carriage in the conduit to be rehabilitated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 15, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moschetti (U.S. Patent No. 4,178,875) in view of Jönsson (U.S. Patent No. 6,439,479 B1).

Moschetti '875 further discloses with regard to claim 15,

the spray source including an arm (5) extending in parallel to said axis and mounted for rotation thereabout and a head (i.e., the end of the arm) carrying the nozzle.

Art Unit: 3671

Moschetti '875 teaches all of the features of the claimed invention with the exception(s) of:

with regard to claim 15,

the head being displaceable by an actuator for selectively closing and opening the spray source, the actuator extending along the arm, and the head being displaceable in a direction perpendicular to the arm;

with regard to claim 24,

a linear actuator extending along the elongated body for displacing the head in a direction perpendicular to the elongated body between a closed position, wherein the components of the fast setting lining material are prevented from reaching the mixing chamber, and an open position wherein the components of the fast setting material are free to flow into the mixing chamber; and with regard to claim 27,

the head moving up and down a needle valve extending at right angle from the elongated body.

Jönsson '479 discloses a spray gun comprising a linear actuator for selectively closing and opening a spray source, the actuator extending along the arm (col. 1, lines 9 through 20; and col. 2, lines 48 through 54) to prevent clogging of the orifices.

With regard to claims 15, 24 and 27, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of spraying at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Moschetti '875 such that it would include a linear actuator as taught

Art Unit: 3671

by Jönsson '479. The motivation would have been to prevent clogging of the nozzle. With regard to Applicant's recitation of perpendicular displacement, as the nozzle of the device shown by Moschetti '875 is positioned perpendicularly to the rotatable arm, modification to include an actuator as taught by Jönsson '479 would necessarily require the resulting motion to also be perpendicular.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moschetti (U.S. Patent No. 4,178,875) in view of Finn (U.S. Patent No. 5,462,204).

Moschetti '875 teaches all of the features of the claimed invention with the exception(s) of:

with regard to claim 18,

a pressure loss regulator being provided adjacent the mixing chamber to ensure that the components of the lining mixture are supplied to the mixing chamber at substantially the same pressure.

Finn '204, as seen in Figures 2 and 4, shows an apparatus for dispensing a foam composition comprising a nozzle (65) having a hollow interior that defines a mixing chamber (66) and an outlet (67), wherein a pressure loss regulator (31) is provided in first and second fluid passages (12, 13) leading to the mixing chamber, to ensure that the fluid components of the lining mixture are supplied to the mixing chamber at substantially the same pressure.

Art Unit: 3671

With regard to claim 18, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of spraying at the time of invention to modify the device shown by Moschetti '875 such that it would include at least one pressure loss regulator. The motivation would have been to regulate the pressures at which the first and second fluids enter the mixing chamber from their individual sources.

9. Claims 19 through 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moschetti (U.S. Patent No. 4,178,875) in view of Bleggi (U.S. Patent No. 6,632,475 B1).

With regard to claim 19, all of the method steps and structural limitations recited therein are inherent to the use of the device shown by Moschetti '875 with the exception(s) of:

with regard to claim 19,

spraying at least a first layer of the liner in a helical pattern on the inner wall of the conduit;

with regard to claim 20,

the lining material being sprayed such that each spire of sprayed lining material overlaps a preceding spire;

with regard to claim 21,

further including the step of applying a second layer of lining material onto the first layer in opposed development;

with regard to claim 22,

Art Unit: 3671

the step of applying the second layer comprising the step of inverting the direction of the rotation of spray source; and with regard to claim 23,

further comprising the step of selecting the number of layers to be applied onto the inner wall of the conduit and alternating the direction of rotation of the spray source between the application of each layer.

Bleggi '475, as seen in Figure 6, discloses a device for spraying a multicomponent coating on the interior of a pipe in a helical spray pattern (Claim 1) while the device is advancing along the length of the pipe.

With regard to claim 19, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of coating at the time of invention to modify the method disclosed by Moschetti '875 such that it would include the step of spraying at least a first layer of the lining in a helical pattern as claimed by Bleggi '475. The motivation would have been to apply the lining mixture to a relatively large surface area of the conduit in a continuous manner.

With regard to claim 20, in view of the teaching by Bleggi '475 for spraying lining material in a helical pattern, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of coating at the time of invention to modify the method disclosed by Moschetti '875 such that it would include the step of applying the lining material in a helical pattern such that one spire would overlap another. The motivation would have been to effectively coat the entire interior of the conduit in a continuous manner.

Art Unit: 3671

With regard to claims 21 through 23, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routing skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of coating at the time of invention to modify the claimed method such that the steps recited therein would be repeated as necessary to apply multiple layers of coating to the interior of the conduit as desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 6 through 14, 17, 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-

Application/Control Number: 10/740,482 Page 11

Art Unit: 3671

3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

22 August 2004

Thorners 5. Will
Supervizory Patent Examiner